

### The Role of US Groups in LHC Physics

**Dan Green** 

Fermilab



### Introduction and History

### The Problem

### The Realities

### The Evolution to Worldwide Physics Analysis







Faraday could work at home in his laboratory. The Curies could too but they processed tons of pitchblende.

# Accelerator Based Research



## Then the tools became larger. Still, they could be operated on a university campus.









Post WW II laboratories became national facilities. Physicists learned to "commute"

## **The Large Hadron Collider**



## The Problem

- The detectors have 100 million channels
- They need to be aligned , calibrated and monitored. There are large data bases which must be accessed.
- The data volume, even after zero suppression, is enormous; 1 MB/event, 100 Hz of events or 10<sup>9</sup> MB/yr (1 million CDs/yr)
- The US groups are only 1/3 of the LHC collaborations and they live an ocean away. In addition only about 1/3 of US physicists can be at CERN long term at any given time.

### Science Magazine

#### PHENOMENON OF THE YEAR: EUROPEAN BIG SCIENCE

IN SEPTEMBER, WHEN THE FIRST BEAMS circulated through the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), Europe's giant particle accelerator near Geneva, Switzerland, media outlets were quick to name a winner. "Europe leaps ahead on physics frontier" ran a story on MSNBC.com, and a blog trumpeted "LHC a sure sign that Europe is the center of physics." The electrical fault that put the LHC out of action just days after its inauguration didn't change the overall picture.

That success was bittersweet for U.S. particle physicists, whose own machine, the Superconducting Super Collider, was canceled in 1993. By most objective measures, U.S. research still leads the world, but in their ability to pool resources in the pursuit of "big science," European nations are showing increasing ambition and success.

CERN is the model of a pan-European laboratory. Formed in 1953 to help rebuild postwar European science and encourage international cooperation, the facility became a guiding light for European particle physics and spurred other fields to follow suit. The next few decades saw the creation however. First off, the European Union (E.U.) decided that it wanted to host ITER, the worldwide reactor project that aims to prove nuclear fusion as a viable power source. During much of 2004 and 2005, the

E.U. was locked in a staring match with Japan over whose site should take the honor. Determined shuttle diplomacy and a facesaving formula put together by E.U. officials finally paid off, and ITER is now under construction at Cadarache in southern France. Such is Europe's confidence in the project that when Congress zeroed out the U.S. contribution to ITER from its 2008 budget, managers in Cadarache barely broke step.

The E.U. didn't stop there. In 2002, it created the European Strategy Forum on Research Infrastructures (ESFRI), which set about drawing up a list of projects worthy of E.U. support. The ESFRI Roadmap, published in October 2006, lists 35 projects, which include a database on population aging and a neutrino observatory on the Mediterranean seabed. The E.U. didn't have



The ESFRI Roadmap and E.U. infrastructure funding have given a number of projects a major push toward becoming reality. This year, the European XFEL, an x-ray light source, and the Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research, both in Germany, have enlisted international partners for construction, and both expect to sign conventions by early next year. The European Spallation Source, proposed in the early 1990s, now has three sites vying to host it, and a decision-in part brokered by ESFRI-is expected this month. A final design for the Aurora Borealis, a groundbreaking polar research ship, was also released this month. And this autumn, groups of European astronomers and astroparticle physicists have published their own road maps, listing potentially world-leading instruments such as the European Extremely Large Telescope and





LHC experiments need to engage the entire collaboration in order to simultaneously operate, analyze and upgrade these complex devices.

The necessities of the LHC experiments mean that US groups will be fully engaged and enabled – e.g. Remote Operations Center



**Remote Operations** 

The LHC@FNAL Remote Operations Center (ROC) at Fermilab is located on the first floor of Wilson Hall. From the ROC, shifters perform real-time monitoring of the data recorded by the CMS Experiment.

ROC	WBM / WBM Twiki	FNAL ELog	Mailing List	Agendas / ROC / AEM	Presentations & Notes
	cmsrocstor / nippon	Runs	CVS	SiTracker / MTCC	
	Screen Snapshot Service	FAQ	Accounts & Nodes	New User Instructions	Web Cams
	CMS Workbook	Directories / Glossaries	Photos	EVO	Google / Wikipedia
LHC@FNAL	Computing	Console Map	Documents	Mailing List	One East Mtg Schedule
	rocshare	Telephones	To Do List	Video Conferencing	
CMS Shifter	CSC	DAQ	DataOps	DQM / FNAL ROC	ECAL
	Event Display	HCAL	Pixel	Trigger	

Exploit the fact that the collaborative tools are falling into place – for example Web Based Monitoring, ELog, webcams, teleconferencing. The US is about 7 hours out of phase with CERN. Therefore, stand remote "evening" shifts. With China and India on board full 24/7 coverage is easily possible.

### **Tiered Computing**



LHC data analysis required worldwide, grid, computing. First pass is done at CERN and then the data is sent to "T1" centers. BNL and FNAL are national computing centers for **US ATLAS and US** CMS. "T2" are centers located at specific universities. Finally, "T3" are located at all collaborating institutions.



The enormous data sets require world wide computing. CERN sends data to several national "T1" Centers for analysis.

Data flow and monitoring of computing must be 24/7. There is a permanent video link between CERN-DESY-and FNAL for US CMS



## **University** Groups are "T2" or "T3"

#### Snapshot of Analysis jobs during readiness test US ATLAS



## The Evolution of Work

The reality of the LHC experiments is that all physicists cannot live at **CERN** while the work of all physicists is needed as the number of jobs soon will increase dramatically (operations, analysis and upgrade). The T1 and T2 centers are becoming nucleation points for 'local' work.





Home > Experiments > ATLAS Meetings

		Tools
AILAS Meetings (Managers: Desnyder-Ivesdal, M.; Potter, C	C.; Demirdjian, C.; Massip, C.; Wintersgill, K.; Info-Protection,	Browse Categories
<u>A.)</u> This category contains the agendas minutes and transparencies of A <sup>*</sup>	T AS meetings	Events Overview
This category contains the agentas, himates and dansparentees of Al		Calendar
Catenories:	Search (more options)	Site Map
Q III ATLAS Collaboration Weeks (36) (protected)		Room Booking
		Statistics
ATLAS Trigger & Physics weeks (8)		Search
🛄 🔍 🏢 CB Chair Advisory Group (11)		Indico News
🧱 🔍 🏭 Collaboration Board (22)		Help
🛄 🔍 👬 Commissioning (104)		
🔍 👬 Computing (3447)		
📰 🔍 👬 Cooling (3)		
		TONDO

- Q
   Image: Data Preparation (161)

   Q
   Image: Electronics (96)
- 🧱 🔍 🏭 Executive Board (73) (protected)
  - 🔍 🏢 Experimental Zone and Infrastructure (21)
- 🔍 🏢 Inner Detector (1979)
- 📕 🔍 👬 LHCC interactions (65)

Integrated Digital Conference

🔍 🏢 Liquid Argon Calorimeter (2100) -

INDICO is a tool for agenda creation. It also serves as a "memory" since all the posted talks are persistent.

Col	llaborative '	<b>Fools - II</b>
Coll Backings Configuration	🤔 Koala - Dan Green	
	Search	▲ Apr 27 2009 →
Kademia Sinica Journal Club	Apr 27 10:00 - 12:30	1 🚳 🚯
ALPHA Physics Meeting	Apr 27 10:00 - 12:00	80
M ATLAS Heavy Ion Jet Meeting	Apr 27 08:30 - 10:30	80
ATLCU CO2 meeting	Apr 27 07:00 - 10:00	0
🗱 Beam Dump PDR	Apr 27 02:00 - Apr 28 10:30	o 😽 🔒 🔂
	The I a tele	LHC experiments use conferencing tool

AS A



#### This research modality is not limited to particle physics.

## **US Groups in LHC**

#### **US ATLAS Institutions**

- 43 US institutes (from 21 states)
  - Albany, ANL, Arizona, UT Arlington, Berkeley LBL and UC, Boston, Brandeis, BNL, Chicago, Columbia, UT Dallas, Duke, Fresno State<sup>#</sup>, Hampton, Harvard, Indiana, U Iowa<sup>#</sup>, Iowa State, UC Irvine, Louisiana Tech<sup>\*</sup>, Massachusetts, MIT, Michigan, MSU, New Mexico, NIU<sup>^</sup>, NYU, Ohio State, Oklahoma, Oklahoma State, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Pittsburgh, UC Santa Cruz, SLAC, SMU, South Carolina<sup>\*</sup>, SUNY Stony Brook, Tufts, Illinois Urbana, Washington, Wisconsin, Yale
  - Corresponding to 38 voting institutions
    - \* = affiliated with BNL; # = affiliated with SLAC; ^= affiliated with ANL
- Others ...
  - No US discussions, but continuing to establish closer ties with South Africa (also fostering closer relations with South America)
- As of Sept 30, 2008
  - 38/169 voting institutions (22%)
  - 395/1817 "current M&O authors" = ~PhDs (22%)

### US CMS is similar. Fraction is slightly larger ~ 1/3

## **Nucleation at Laboratories**

- US ATLAS has Argonne, Brookhaven Lawrence Berkeley and SLAC as collaborating laboratories. A dispersed support model is favored.
- US CMS has Fermilab as the major laboratory (LLNL is a small effort ). Naturally, with a different sociology, they favor a central nucleation. Thus in US CMS there is a single large ROC and LPC. These were part of the US CMS baseline plan ab initio.

#### US ATLAS Analysis Support

**US ATLAS Analysis Support Efforts** 

Initial Organization, purpose (& acronyms)

### SLAC is a T2

Currently 3 components

Analysis Support Centers (ASCs)

ANL, BNL, LBNL

Loci of support activity:

Home to many ASG experts Site of tutorials/jamborees

Regional gathering locations

#### Analysis Support Group (ASGs)

Software & performance experts based in US timezone

Most support provided by hypernews (little use of phone)

Many experts available during Jamborees & tutorials

#### Analysis Forums (AFs)

Informal venue for physics/performance discussions

#### Regular meetings

focus on nuts & bolts (often not possible in CERNbased meetings)

Complimentary (not parallel) to CERN meetings

#### USATLAS Analysis Support Personnel

**Analysis Support** 

The FY08 analysis support effort from OP funds included:

- 0.5 FTE effort at BNL
- 0.5 FTE effort in documentation effort.
- Request for additional analysis support effort : (Support at ASCs & CERN) remained unfunded
  - Voluntary effort by several physicists has been filling this gap
- Approved hire in support of ROOT and related analysis tools development remained unfilled

#### For FY09, these two fronts have been merged:

- New hired personnel expected to be engaged in development of analysis tools and support of U.S. physicists in their use Examples:
  - Generic software tools common across analysis
  - Creation of DPD: thinning, slimming and skimming data sets
  - Retrieval and analysis of datasets based on physics selection
  - Use of PROOF and other ROOT based tools
  - Adapting software to work in Athena and ROOT environment

"Local" US **ATLAS** analysis support. Favor hires at **Universities which** split the costs. FY09 at Duke, **Iowa State and** NYU. Try to ramp up support at the 3 ASC and at CERN (US physicists resident)

## **The LHC Physics Center**

LPC Organization Chart:



The LPC has been part of the US CMS baseline plan from the beginning. Note the Physics Support Group – ramp up

# **Guest and Visitor Programs**

<b>‡</b> Fermilab											
for physicists											
home	about	Fermilab	conta	acting Ferm	nilab	inquiring	i minds	visiting Fer	milab	education	search
for phy	sicists	Fermilab	now	events	put	lications	Fermil	ab at work	jobs	press room	1 help



#### Related Links

Overview

Application Procedure

Award Administration and Fermilab Visitor Information

Guidelines for Applications

#### Overview

#### UNIVERSITIES RESEARCH ASSOCIATION VISITING SCHOLARS PROGRAM

Under the FRA/DOE contract for the operation of Fermilab, each of the 87 member institutions of <u>Universities Research</u> <u>Association, Inc.</u> (URA) has agreed to contribute \$5000/year for five years in support of joint Fermilab-URA research initiatives. These funds are being used to support visits by researchers from URA institutions to work at Fermilab for periods of up to one year.

## **Experts on Call**



Role	Task	Who
Responsible teacher	guarantees the pedagogical contents and coherence of the material	Erédétic Ronga
Content providers	provide material for different learning modules	FR, KLP, Benedikt Hegner, Steven Lowette, Roger Wolf, Volker Adler, Sal Bannoccio, Betar Maksimovic, Thomas Kress, CRAB team
Tutors	guide the participants in their exercises and in their project	Sal R, Roger W, Sudhir Malik, Benedikt Mura, Charles Blager
Support for tutors	help tutors when needed	Renedikt H, Eréderic R
Project management	plan and manage the project	KLP
Local organization & technical support	practical arrangements and technical support	KLP (CERN) Sudhir Malik (FNAL)

It is important to have experts available for help in real time. In addition, the experts should be in your time zone. As of now, CMS has 2 teams – one in CERN and one in FNAL (4 US CMS – LPC **Physics Support** Team).

Web B	ased Mentoring
<ul> <li>Subscribe to feed</li> <li>Syndicate OpenDD</li> <li>Bookmark this</li> <li>Report this</li> </ul>	In order to be up to
Create a new group Groups you own	date, the LPC has started a "US CMS Montors Program"
All site groups	which takes Facebook as a
Your groups	model. In this way







**EW Physics** 

Mentors Program" which takes Facebook as a model. In this way senior US CMS physicists can help mentor graduate students and postdocs remotely.





"Local" Workshops in the US are well attended.





How well is US CMS engaged in physics analysis? Metrics hard to specify. Well attended Workshops/Tutorials with a good sampling of US CMS groups. The LPC is reaching the US CMS community of 46 university groups, quite widely it seems.





Last 2 years – 6 month bins

Mine data in **INDICO** to see what fraction of CMS talks at CMS meetings are given by US physicists. The fraction is roughly pro rata, or 1/3.



CMS talks and posters in the last 12 months

Talks 🛛 📶 Posters



Mine CMS Conference Committee data to look at conference talks sorted by nationality. The US is roughly pro rata and gave the most talks of any national group. APS Meeting, May 2-5, 2009



Due to the Tevatron experience of US physicists the representation of US physicists in CMS physics groups is more than pro rata In the core analyses (yellow) US physicists comprise more than half the conveners APS Meeting, May 2-5, 2009

### Summary

- The LHC experiments are of unprecedented size and complexity, as are the collaborations
- In order to operate, analyze and upgrade the experiments, all of the collaborators must be both engaged and enabled.
- To enable fully tiered computing, grid tools, remote operations centers, distributed data operations and collaborative tools must be fully deployed.
- US groups are fully active in the LHC experiments and participate proportionally.
- Metrics for US participation indicate that US ATLAS and US CMS strategies to engage fully have, to date, been successful. However, we have yet to take first data.

#### **ATLAS Physics & Performance Groups**

Physics coordinators Dave Charlton Tom LeCompte

Physics Groups		
B Physics WG	S. Hassani, C. Petridou	
Top WG	M. Bosman, R. Hawkings	
Standard Model WG	L. di Ciaccio, S. Tapprogge	
Higgs WG	A. Nisati, K. Assamagan	
SUSY WG	G. Polesello, P. de Jong	
Exotics WG	E. Ros, P. Savard	
Heavy Ions WG	B. Wosiek, P. Steinberg	
Monte Carlo WG	O. Jinnouchi, J. Katzy	

#### Combined Performance Groups

e/gamma WG	D. Froidevaux, L. Serin
Flavour Tagging WG	L. Vacavant, G. Watts
Jet/EtMiss WG	J. Proudfoot, T. Carli
Tau WG	W. Mader, Y. Coadou
Muan WG	C. Schiavi, D. Orestano

#### Other

 Trigger
 N. Ellis

 Tracking Performance
 S. Haywood, A. Wildauer

 Production Team
 B. Kersevan

Conveners serve staggered 2 year terms

Nominated by collaboration board, appointment decided by physics coordinators

#### ATLAS-wide (Distributed) Analysis Support Personnel

Effective Sep 29, 2008, PanDA/Ganga support is provided by the AtlasDAST (Atlas Distributed Analysis Shift Team)

AtlasDAST currently 8 members: 4 in the US time zone & 4 in the EU time zone One member from each zone is on shift for 7 hrs/day (9am-11pm CERN time) 5 days/week

Nurcan Ozturk (UTA) & Daniel van der Ster (CERN) were appointed to coordinate this effort

This shift work counts toward Operations Task credit

#### **USATLAS Analysis Support Personnel**

- · As recommended, new hires to be located at Universities splitting costs:
  - Allows them to work part time on physics making jobs more attractive
  - OP funds allows for stable and committed support
  - Overhead and other saving from University environment
- FY09 budgeted hires:
  - 0.5 FTE at Duke (support for PROOF and Root based tools)
  - 0.5 FTE at NYU (Support for Athena based analysis tools)
  - 1 FTE at Iowa State (Support for analysis tools and data selection)
  - Together with already existing 1 FTE support, this brings the total to 3 FTE in FY09

Expect support needs to increase in FY10 as large scale data analysis commences:

- Support at the three analysis support centers
- Support for U.S. physicists based at CERN
- It takes extensive effort and experience to provide technical analysis help to physicists.
   We need to make sure we have this in place before data taking starts
- Additional 2 FTE anticipated & requested (under RBT) for FY10.
  - FY09 experience will help us understand better the size & scope of the needed support