Cavitation Inception and Dynamics in Vortical Flows

Steve L. Ceccio

Mechanical Engineering Naval Architecture & Marine Engineering

> University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI, 48109

American Physical Society 62nd Annual Meeting Division of Fluid Dynamics

University of

Copyright Steve Ceccio, 2009

Vortex Cavitation

- Regions of concentrated vorticity can form in many flows:
 - Tip Vortices
 - Leakage Vortices
 - Wake Vortices
 - Turbulent Shear Flows
- The pressure in the core of the vortex is lower than that of the surrounding fluid.
- Small bubbles (nuclei) in the core of the vortex grow when exposed to the low pressure.







Reviewed by Arndt (2002), Annual Reviews of Fluid Mechanics



Vortex Cavitation in Turbomachinery

Issue: Incipient Tip Leakage Cavitation can be detected acoustically well before visual inception on ducted rotors.

Issue: TLC Inception occurs at higher than expected cavitation numbers.

Objective: Understand the physical processes leading to TLV inception by studying a canonical open and ducted rotors up to 1 meter in diameter.





University of

CHIGA



NSWC-CD 36" Water Tunnel

Tip Leakage Cavitation









PIV Measurements of Vortical Flow In Tip Leakage Region



Identification of High Vorticity Regions Can Be Lost Through Phase Averaging

Oweis, Fry, Chesnakas, Jessup, and Ceccio, JFE, (2005a) (2005b)



University of CHIGAN

S = 0.476



Identification of High Vorticity Regions Can Be Lost Through Phase Averaging





Sometimes TLV Cavitation Made a "Popping" or "Chirping" Sound





University of

HIGAN



rigure of medusite signal from a bubble train (emp).

Incipient TLV cavitation and an accompanying noise signal. (Chesnakas and Jessup, Proc. ASME, 2003)

Prediction of Inception Pressure and Location is Difficult

Measured Vortex Core Properties:

- Instantaneous circulations. $\Gamma_{\rm O}$, and core sizes, *a*, are measured.
- The typical (average) pressure drop in the core is determined:

$$\Delta P = p_C - p_{\infty} = -\eta_3 \rho_f \left(\frac{\Gamma_o}{2\pi a}\right)^2$$

• Pressure coefficient is determined as a function of downstream distance, *s/c*.

Unexpected Findings

- Inception occurred downstream of the minimum mean pressure location on the 5206 and 5407 rotors.
- Inception occurs at much higher pressures.
- Inception controlled by unsteady pressures.



8

Location of SVC Inception

Basic Experiments Were Conducted to Address Basic TVC Flows

- How are **nuclei** captured by the vortex?
- What causes the **core pressure** of the cavitating vortex to be suddenly reduced? (Merging, stretching, axial core jet/wakes in the vortex?)
- How does the TVC bubble make **noise**? (Is noise produced during growth, convection, and/or collapse?)

Choi and Ceccio, JFM, (2007)

University of

Vortex Cavitation Bubbles in a Single Vortex



University of

10

MICHIGAN

9- Inch Cavitation Tunnel at the University of Michigan

Inlet Vortex Properties for Four Conditions

	α=4 ⁰	(1)	α=4.5° (2)			
	Γ_{O} , m ² /s	r_c , mm	Γ_{O} , m²/s	r _c , mm		
Foil With Trip (T)	0.252 +/-0.015	4.76 +/-0.31	0.288 +/-0.018	5.15 +/-0.35		
Foil Without Trip (T)	0.257 +/-0.013	3.75 +/-0.20	0.302 +/-0.015	4.20 +/-0.23		

SPIV Measurements of Mean Flow. Axial jet/wake in the vortex core was not present.



A 11

Gaussian Vortex With Axial Jet

$$u_{\theta}(r) = \frac{\Gamma_0}{2\pi r} (1 - e^{-\alpha (r/r_c)^2})$$

Tangential Velocity

$$u_{\theta}(r_{C}) = \beta \frac{\Gamma_{O}}{2\pi r_{C}}$$

Maximum Tangential Velocity (β = 0.715)

$$P(r) - P_{\infty} = \int_{\infty}^{0} -\frac{\rho u_{\theta}^{2}(r)}{r} dr = -\rho \left(\frac{\Gamma_{o}}{2\pi r_{c}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{2(r/r_{c})^{2}}\right) \times \begin{bmatrix} -1 + 2e^{-\alpha(r/r_{c})^{2}} - e^{-2\alpha(r/r_{c})^{2}} \\ -2\alpha(r/r_{c})^{2} Ei(\alpha(r/r_{c})^{2}) \\ +2\alpha(r/r_{c})^{2} Ei(2\alpha(r/r_{c})^{2}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Radial Pressure Distribution



Minimum Core Pressure ($\eta = 0.870$)

$$\sigma_{\infty} = (P_{\infty} - P_{V}) / \frac{1}{2} \rho U_{\infty}^{2}$$
$$\sigma_{C} = -\frac{2\eta}{\beta^{2}} + \left(\frac{2\pi r_{C} U_{\infty}}{\beta \Gamma_{O}}\right)^{2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{U_{C}}{U_{\infty}}\right)^{2} + \sigma_{\infty}\right]$$

Cavitation Number

Cavitation Number on Vortex Axis



Vortex Is passed Through a Venturi to Lower and Recover Surrounding Static Pressure

• Measured pressure along the Venturi with 6 pressure taps.

• Pressures used to estimate the loss coefficients for the throat, straight passage, and diffuser.

• The vortex core axial velocity estimated using relation derived by Darmfil *et al.* (2001).

$$\frac{\Omega_{\infty}^2}{a(z)/A_{\infty}} - \left(\frac{1}{a(z)/A_{\infty}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{U_{\infty}/U_{C,\infty}(1-a_{\infty}/A_{\infty})}{A(z)/A_{\infty} - a(z)/A_{\infty}}\right)^2 = \Omega_{\infty}^2 - 1 + \left(\frac{U_{\infty}}{U_{C,\infty}}\right)^2 + \frac{U_{\infty}}{A(z)/A_{\infty}} + \frac{U$$

• The vortex core pressure is then computed.



 $C_P = (P_C(z) - P_{\infty}) / (\rho (\beta \Gamma_o / (2\pi r_C)^2 / 2))$





Time Series of Individual Cavitation Bubbles



Elongated Bubble Radius versus σ_c



Results are <u>very sensitive</u> to small variations in vortex properties.

University of

16

MICHIGAN

Acoustic Impulse of Collapse versus Equivalent Radius



Detectable noise is produced by growth, fission (infrequently), and collapse. No "chirps" were detected

The acoustic impulse is **2 orders of magnitude less** than that produced by nearly spherical bubbles.

University of

Size Scaling of 2-Dimensional Elongated Vortex Cavitation Bubble Radius



Dynamics of Two-Dimensional and Axisymmetric Vortex Bubble Dynamics

Choi, Hsiao, Chahine, and Ceccio, JFM, (2009)

Continuity:

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r \cdot u_r) = 0 \qquad r_D > 1000r_C$$

Momentum in *r*-direction:

$$\rho\left(\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial t} + u_r\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} - \frac{u_{\theta}^2}{r}\right) = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} + \mu\left(\frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} + \frac{u_r}{r^2}\right)$$

Momentum in θ -direction:

$$\rho\left(\frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial t} + u_{r}\frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial r} - \frac{u_{r}u_{\theta}}{r}\right) = \mu\left(\frac{\partial^{2}u_{\theta}}{\partial r^{2}} + u_{r}\frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial r} - \frac{u_{\theta}}{r^{2}}\right)$$

Boundary Conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} u_r \Big|_{r=r_b} &= \frac{dr_b}{dt} \qquad P_{r=r_b} = P_V \quad P_{\infty}(t) \approx P_{1000r_c}(t) \\ & \frac{du_r}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_b} \text{ not specified} \end{aligned}$$



Scaling of Two-Dimensional and Vortex Bubble Dynamics



Vorticity Redistribution Leads To Dynamic Compliance



(a) Growth of the two-dimensional bubble as a function of time for $\Gamma_0 = 0.2$, $r_c = 4$ mm, with $\Delta T / \tau_v = 1.0$. The results for nine cavitation numbers are shown, $\sigma_c = 0.05, -0.1, -0.2, -0.4, -0.5, -0.6, -0.8, -1.0$; (b) Growth of the bubble for $\sigma_c = -0.3$, with $\Delta T / \tau_v = 0.25, 0.6$, and 1.2.

University of



Volume history of three dimensional bubble geometry during growing for (a) $Re_{\Gamma} = 2 \times 10^5$ and $\sigma_{C} = -0.4$, for and $\Delta T/\tau_{v} = 0.46$ (b) $\Delta T/\tau_{v} = 1.13$. Also shown is the volume history for (c) $Re_{\Gamma} = 4 \times 10^5$ and, $\sigma_{C} = -0.4$, and $\Delta T/\tau_{v} = 0.23$.





 $\tau\!/\tau^{\star}$ = 6, Re_{Γ} = 4x10⁵ , $L_{b}\!/\!D_{b}$ = 2 and σ_{C} = 0.3













Comparison of the Predicted and Measured Radii of Elongated Vortex Bubbles



Summary Of Single Vortex Results

- Single vortex scaling methods will often not capture the physics responsible for TVC cavitation, especially when multiple interacting vortices are present.
- There were no experimental observations of chirping bubbles in the single vortex experiment.
- Analysis and numerical modeling suggest that chirping bubbles occur when there is a variation in angular momentum due to the change in the elongated bubble radius that couples into the pressure at the bubble interface.

University of

25

• The analysis suggests that the <u>rate</u> of pressure changes surrounding the nucleus is important.

Summary Of Single Vortex Results

We therefore need to vary the rate of pressure reduction and recovery surrounding the bubble.

This can be achieved with vortex-vortex interactions.





J. Fluid Mech. (2004), vol. 517, pp. 331–358. © 2004 Cambridge University Press DOI: 10.1017/S0022112004001016 Printed in the United Kingdom

On cooperative instabilities of parallel vortex pairs

By R. L. BRISTOL, J. M. ORTEGA, P. S. MARCUS AND Ö. SAVAŞ

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720-1740, USA savas@me.berkeley.edu

(Received 11 February 2003 and in revised form 24 June 2004)



UM Visualizations of cavitating co-rotating vortices.





FIGURE 14. Simulation of a $(\Gamma, d/\sigma) = (0.9, 6.67)$ co-rotating pair perturbed by a hump of amplitude 2σ on the weaker vortex. Some indication of elliptic instability is visible at t/T = 0.68 (compare to t/T = 0.61 in figure 12). Vorticity bridges become clearly visible at t/T = 0.85. Orbital period T = 147 s.

R. L. Bristol, J. M. Ortega, P. S. Marcus and Ö. Savaş



UM Visualizations of cavitating counter-rotating vortices.

On cooperative instabilities of parallel vortex pairs

353

28



FIGURE 15. Surfaces of a $\Gamma = -0.37$, $d/\sigma_1 = 3.96$, $d/\sigma_2 = 7.47$ counter-rotating pair. The weaker vortex is perturbed at $(kd)_{max}$ at t = 0. View is edge-on at t = 0, with the weaker vortex in the foreground, and remains fixed throughout the simulation. Orbital period T = 156 s.

University of MICHIGAN

Counter-Rotating Vortex Pairs Examined

run#	Γ_{OP} , m ² /S	Γ_{OS} , m ² /S	r_{CP} ,mm	$r_{C,S}$, mm	Γ_{OS}/Γ_{OP}	b, mm	$(r_{CS} + r_{CP})/(2b)$	Re г _P	$C_{P,C,P}$	$C_{P,CS}$	Ratio	Incepting Vortex	Instability
T1	0.252	-	4.76	-	-	-	-	252000	-1.24	-	-	-	-
T2	0.288	-	5.15	-	-	-	-	288000	-1.38	-	-	-	-
R1	0.257	-	3.75	-	-	-	-	257000	-2.07	-	-	-	-
R2	0.288	-	4.2	-	-	-	-	288000	-2.07	-	-	-	-
D1	-0.2915	0.0617	5.6	2.9	-0.21	23	0.185	291500	-1.19	-0.20	6.0	Primary	0
D2	-0.2916	0.0633	5.9	3.3	-0.22	21	0.219	291600	-1.08	-0.16	6.6	Simultaneous	0
D3	-0.2283	0.051	5.1	2.7	-0.22	22	0.177	228300	-0.88	-0.16	5.6	Primary	0
D4	-0.2976	0.0686	5.7	3.4	-0.23	21	0.217	297600	-1.20	-0.18	6.7	Secondary	0
D5	-0.2745	0.0662	5.3	2.7	-0.24	22	0.182	274500	-1.18	-0.26	4.5	Secondary	0
D6	-0.2266	0.0579	6	3.7	-0.26	21	0.231	226600	-0.63	-0.11	5.8	Secondary	0
D7	-0.2708	0.0798	6.2	3.7	-0.29	22	0.225	270800	-0.84	-0.21	4.1	Secondary	0
D8	-0.2226	0.0683	5.2	3.2	-0.31	22	0.191	222600	-0.81	-0.20	4.0	Primary	0
D9	-0.2621	0.0885	5.2	3	-0.34	21	0.195	262100	-1.12	-0.38	2.9	Secondary	0
D10	-0.2184	0.081	4.9	3	-0.37	21	0.188	218400	-0.88	-0.32	2.7	Primary	0
D11	-0.2671	0.1062	6.1	4.1	-0.40	21	0.243	267100	-0.85	-0.30	2.9	Secondary	0
D12	0.1106	-0.0519	4.3	3.3	-0.47	18	0.211	110600	-0.29	-0.11	2.7	Primary	Х
D13	0.1439	-0.08	3.5	3.7	-0.56	19	0.189	143900	-0.75	-0.21	3.6	Primary	Х
D14	0.1627	-0.096	4	3.5	-0.59	20	0.188	162700	-0.73	-0.33	2.2	Primary	Х
D15	0.1849	-0.112	4.3	3.9	-0.61	19	0.216	184900	-0.81	-0.36	2.2	Primary	Х
D16	0.1697	-0.1267	4	3.7	-0.75	20	0.193	169700	-0.79	-0.52	1.5	Secondary	0
D17	0.1638	-0.1236	3.9	3.6	-0.75	20	0.188	163800	-0.78	-0.52	1.5	Secondary	0

Some combinations are unstable, and the weaker secondary vortex cavitates <u>first</u>

University of MICHIGAN

Vortex Inception in the Secondary Vortex



152 mm x 61 mm

2600 FPS

University of MICHIGAN

Growing Case Makes a "Pop"

hydrophone



Collapsing Case Makes a "Pop"



"Chirping" After Inception



"Chirping" After Inception



Acoustic Pressure: Inferred and Measured





Probability of a Chirp Is Related to Nuclei Size



Some Conclusions About TVC Inception and Acoustics

- Single vortex scaling methods will often not capture the physics responsible for TVC cavitation, especially when multiple interacting vortices are present.
- Bubble-Vortex Interactions are important for understanding the dynamics and noise emissions of elongated vortex bubbles.
- A combination of experimental, analytical, and numerical tools are available to understand and (possibly) predict TVC cavitation since there is likely no single scaling method which captures all the necessary physics.
- The bubble could be used as a flow diagnostic (!)



Some Acknowledgements

Dr. Stuart Jessup (NSWC-CD) Dr. David Fry (NSWC-CD) Dr. Chris Chesnakas (NSWC-CD)

Dr. Michael Billet (PSU-ARL) Mr. William Straka (PSU-ARL)

Dr. George Chahine (Dynaflow) Dr. Hsiao (Dynaflow)

Dr. Ki-Han Kim (ONR)

Prof. David R. Dowling (UM) Prof. Ghanem Oweis (AUB) Dr. Natasha Chang (NSWC-CD) Dr. Jaehyung Choi

University of

39

HIGA



Prof. Roger Arndt



Prof. Chris Brennen

Thank you!



